IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

MARTIN S. FRIEDLANDER, individually, and as Assignee fo the Successor Trustee of the Legal Defense and Maintenance Trust of California, a Citizen of California; and as an Express Third Party Beneficiary of the Legal Defense and Maintenance Trust of California; The Successor in Interest to All the Claims, Assets, Rights, and Causes of Action Herein Asserted on Behalf of Santa Fe Business Park LLC, Summit Floormart LLC, Summit Valdes Business Park, LLC, Summit Center LLC, El Llano Summit Business Center LLC, El Llano Summit Caja Del Rio LLC, and Jeffrey Potter,

Plaintiff,

vs. No. CIV 06-1160 JB/DJS

RICHARD P. COOK; EL LLANO COMPANY, INC.; VALLEY NATIONAL BANK; COMEAU, MALDEGEN, TEMPLEMAN & INDALL, LLP; GRAY HANDY; PAULA COOK; JOHN PATTERSON; ROBERT ENGEL; VERN BOWERS; SONNY OTERO d/b/a OTERO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY; PHASE ONE REALTY; ERNEST ("ERNIE ROMERO"); W. JAMES METHANY; and SARCO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the Defendants Sarco Construction Company, El Llano Company, Inc, and Richard [Cook]'s Motion and Memorandum to Dismiss and to Strike the Complaint, filed January 17, 2007 (Doc. 28). The Court held a hearing on the motion on September 25, 2007. The primary issues are: (i) whether the Court should dismiss Plaintiff Martin

Case 1:06-cv-01160-JB-SMV Document 77 Filed 09/30/07 Page 2 of 3

S. Friedlander's Complaint against Defendants Sarco, El Llano, and Richard Cook for failure to

comply with rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; (ii) whether the Court should strike the

Complaint or portions of it because of rule 12(f)'s prohibition against redundant and impertinent

material; and (iii) whether the Court should require Friedlander to file a more definite statement.

Because the Court has already granted in part the Defendants Sarco Construction Company, El

Llano Company, Inc. and Richard Cook's Joint Motion to Dismiss the Complaint (Doc. 26), see

Memorandum Opinion and Order, filed September 30, 2007 (Doc. 76), and decided to abstain

pending resolution of the pending state case involving the same or similar claims, see Doc. 76 at 3-5,

the Court will deny this motion as moot without prejudice to these Defendants re-raising these issues

if and when the Court lifts the stay.

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendants Sarco Construction Company, El Llano Company,

Inc., and Richard [Cook]'s Motion to Dismiss and to Strike the Complaint is denied as moot without

prejudice to these Defendants re-raising these issues if and when the Court lifts the stay in this case

to permit litigation against these Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Parties and Counsel:

Martin S. Friedlander Los Angeles, California

Plaintiff Pro Se

-2-

Paul Maestas Wayne R. Suggett Maestas & Suggett, P.C. Albuquerque, New Mexico

> Attorneys for Defendants Richard P. Cook, Sarco Construction Company, and El Llano Company

Eric M. Sommer Sommer, Udall, Hardwick, Ahern & Hyatt, LLP Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attorneys for Defendant Valley National Bank

Jim Dines Michael A. Gross Steven J. Leibel Dines & Gross, P.C. Albuquerque, New Mexico

> Attorneys for Defendants Comeau, Maldegen, Templeman & Indall, LLP, Paula Cook, and Grey Handy

Briggs F. Cheney Law Office ▲ Briggs F. Cheney Albuquerque, New Mexico

Attorneys for Defendant John Patterson

J. E. Gallegos Gallegos Law Firm, P.C. Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attorney for Defendant Sonny Otero d/b/a Otero Construction Company

David A. Grammar III Aldridge, Grammar, Jeffrey & Hammer, P.A. Albuquerque, New Mexico

> Attorneys for Defendants Phase One Realty, Inc., Ernest "Ernie" Romero, and W. James Metheny